



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
O8/665.491 06/18/96 HUDAK & SHUNK CO SUITE 808 7 WEST BOWERY STREET AKRON OH 44308-1133	13M1/1006	SNAY	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/665,491

Applicant(s)

Kramer

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Jeffrey R. Snay

Group Art Unit 1313



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 Sep 1997	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-28	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21-28</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
\square received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ber)
\square received in this national stage application from the I	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
	(s)2
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	•
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	5
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TI	HE FOLLOWING PAGES
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TI	IL I OLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/665491 Page 2

Art Unit: 1313

1. Applicant's election of claims 13-20 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liddle in view of Palcher.

Liddle discloses a method for treating non-porous surfaces, including glass and plastic, comprising applying a composition which includes an alkylpolysiloxane, an aromatic or aliphatic sulfonic acid, and hydrofluoric acid. The treatment is disclosed as being effective for rendering

Serial Number: 08/665491 Page 3

Art Unit: 1313

the surface water repellent, dirt repellent, and frost and ice repellent (see column 4, lines 1-34).

Liddle teaches an automobile windshield as a particular surface to be treated.

Liddle differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to teach applying the composition to a windshield wiper. However, Palcher teaches treatment of rubber, e.g. automobile tires, with an alkylpolysiloxane composition to protect against environmental conditions such as ozone and UV radiation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the treating method of Liddle to windshield wipers, as well as the disclosed windshield, in order to obtain the water and dirt repellency as taught by Liddle, and to further obtain the rubber protection against environmental damage, as taught by Palcher.

4. Claims 15-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liddle in view of Palcher, as applied to claims 13 and 14 above, and further in view of Bright et al.

The method of Liddle as modified in view of Palcher differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to teach the particular sulfonic acids of instant claim 15. However, the selection of known aromatic sulfonic acids based on their suitability for the intended purpose in the method of Liddle is considered obvious and within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In this regard, Bright et al is relied upon solely as evidence that sulfonic acids of the type recited in the instant claims were known as useful in cleaning compositions.

Serial Number: 08/665491

Page 4

Art Unit: 1313

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4032.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300

9/28/97

jrs

September 28, 1997